IERALD TRIBUNE Approved For Release 2004/02/04 : CIA-RDP67B00446R000100340004

By Joseph R. Slevin National Economics Editor

WASHINGTON.

Soviet Russia is coming off a poor second in the big economic growth race against the United States, President tion would overtake the U. S. equivalent to but one-third of Mr. Ackley called particu-Johnson's top economist de- by 1970. delared yesterday.

Gardner Ackley, chairman of the Council of Economic Advisers, said that Russian economic growth has been slwoing since 1960. He predicted that the slowdown will continue.

The groth pace of Soviet national output has fallen one-third during the past five years while the U.S. rate has Dicked up by the same amount, the presidential aide declared. Mr. Ackley comeconomic performances in a San Francisco speech that "Hwas made available to newsmien here.

The White House adviser recalled that former Soviet or forecast that Russian producRunning a Poor Second to U.S.

But the gap between the U.S. and Soviet economies is becoming larger rather than smaller, Mr. Ackley said. 2-Ackley & fin

Pointing out that the \$670 billion U. S. gross national product is roughly twice the size of Russia's, Mr. Ackley said the "absolute gap" between the rival economies has widened by a strapping \$60 billion during the past five years.

In a look ahead to 1970, he said the gap will increase by another \$60 billion in favor of the U.S. if the current growth trends continue.

The larger output will boost. Russia's per capital produc-tion, Mr. Ackley said. "But it would still remain at a level

per capita consumption here," he emphasized.

Russia's growth rate has dropped from "a very impressive 5 /2 per cent a year" during the 1950's to about 4.3 per cent a year since 1960. "roughly the same as our own," the Presidential expert said.

Mr. Ackley blamed four developments for the Russians' failure to meet their goals. .

The expansion of Soviet military space programs has pre-empted a large share of the high-quality men, machinery and materials that otherwise might have been used for modernizing machinery and agriculture, he said.

The failure of agriculture has sharply braked the entire Soviet economy, he continued. Agricultural output has grown tion becomes more apparent, an average of only 3 per cent a year since 1955 while Soviet industrial production has gone up at least 5 per cent in each of the past 10 years, Mr. Ackley said.

"The Soviet's ability to adopt new technology and manufacturing processes from the West has faded rapidly since the fiftles," the presidential adviser said. "It is difficult to quantify the cost advantages the Russians enjoyed for many years as they 'borrowed' liberally from more advanced countries. But we do know that it has now others' ready-made tech-nology. lar attention to the Russian's inability to sustain their "ex-traordinarily high" new plant and equipment investment rates of the 1950's.

The share of Russian output poured into investment has been moving down since 1960 while the U.S. share began moving up in that year, Mr. Ackley said.

Discussing the coming five years, the White House aide said there is increasing evidence that consumer needs are receiving greater weight in Soviet resource allocation decisions. At the same time, he said, the Soviet leaders must continue to try to balance : frequently conflicting demands for more investment in heavy, industry, in agriculture and in defense.

"As the Soviet system matures, its lack of sophistica-Mr. Ackley declared. "Reform is difficult; yet the products and processes of the economy inevitably become steadily more complex, making more serious those inefficiencies which Western observers have long noted."

The Presidential economist called the Soviet growth rate "respectable" for a modern, industrial economy and made it clear that he does not believe that the Soviet failure to begin to catch up with the U. S. makes the Russians less formidable world rivals.

The Soviet Union still is spending 80 per cent as much become more difficult for as for space and military them to secure the large out- programs, Mr. Ackley noted. put gains previously associ- "Despite management difated with the application of ficulties within the USSR, we cannot question the ability of the Soviet leadership to divert and concentrate a large portion of this still rapidly growing GNP in support of a wide range of activities that may well challenge U. S. security interests almost anywhere in the world," the council chairman said.